**Excessive Myosin Activity in *Mbs* Mutants Causes Photoreceptor Movement Out of the *Drosophila* Eye Disc Epithelium**
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Neuronal cells must extend a motile growth cone while maintaining the cell body in its original position. In migrating cells, myosin contraction provides the driving force that pulls the rear of the cell toward the leading edge. We have characterized the function of myosin light chain phosphatase, which down-regulates myosin activity, in *Drosophila* photoreceptor neurons. Mutations in the gene encoding the myosin binding subunit of this enzyme cause photoreceptors to drop out of the eye disc epithelium and move toward and through the optic stalk. We show that this phenotype is due to excessive phosphorylation of the myosin regulatory light chain Spaghetti squash rather than another potential substrate, Moesin, and that it requires the nonmuscle myosin II heavy chain Zipper. **Myosin binding subunit** mutant cells continue to express apical epithelial markers and do not undergo ectopic apical constriction. In addition, mutant cells in the wing disc remain within the epithelium and differentiate abnormal wing hairs. We suggest that excessive myosin activity in photoreceptor neurons may pull the cell bodies toward the growth cones in a process resembling normal cell migration.

**INTRODUCTION**

The cytoskeleton plays a variety of roles during development, allowing cells to change their shape, adhesive properties, and motility (Jamora and Fuchs, 2002). Two critical components of the cytoskeleton are actin and nonmuscle myosin II. The contractile activity of actomyosin complexes has been implicated in cell migration, epithelial sheet movements, cytokinesis, axon outgrowth, and cell adhesion (Matsui, 1996; Jacinto et al., 2002; Dent and Gertler, 2003). During migration of several cell types, myosin activity is required to retract the rear of the cell (Ridley et al., 2003).

Nonmuscle myosin II consists of a hexamer of two myosin heavy chains (MHC), two myosin light chains (MLC), and two myosin regulatory light chains (MRLC) (Korn and Hammer, 1988). Phosphorylation of key serine and threonine residues on MRLC stimulates the ATPase activity of MHC and promotes its assembly into filaments, leading to stress fiber contraction (Adelstein and Conti, 1975; Craig et al., 1983; Umemoto et al., 1989; Katoh et al., 2001). Mutations in the *Drosophila* orthologs of these myosin subunits have provided insight into the developmental functions of myosin II. Mutations in *zipper* (zip), which encodes MHC, cause defects in cytokinesis, closure of the dorsal embryonic epidermis over the amnioserosa, axon patterning, and myofibril formation (Zhao et al., 1988; Young et al., 1993; Bloor and Kiehart, 2001). *spaghetti squash* (sqh), encoding MRLC, is required for cytokinesis, oogenesis, and imaginal disc eversion (Karess et al., 1991; Wheatley et al., 1995; Edwards and Kiehart, 1996; Jordan and Karess, 1997).

Actin-binding proteins of the ezrin, radixin, and moesin (ERM) family are thought to link transmembrane proteins to the actin cytoskeleton (Bretscher, 1999). ERM proteins are activated by phosphorylation of a conserved threonine residue, which inhibits association between the N-terminal FERM domain and C-terminal actin-binding domain of the protein, freeing them to bind to other substrates (Matsui et al., 1998; Pearson et al., 2000). Moesin-like (Moe) is the only representative of this family in *Drosophila*. Moe mutants have abnormal oocyte polarity because defects in the anchorage of actin filaments to the oocyte cortex disrupt the localization of maternal determinants (Jankovics et al., 2002; Polesello et al., 2002). In addition, Moe mutant cells in the wing disc undergo an epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition and adopt invasive migratory behavior (Speck et al., 2003).

Interestingly, genetic and biochemical studies implicate the same kinase and phosphatase in the regulation of both nonmuscle myosin II and Moesin. Rho-associated kinase (ROCK/Rok) has been shown to phosphorylate MRLC in both mammalian and *Drosophila* systems (Amano et al., 1996; Mizuno et al., 1999; Winter et al., 2001). Myosin light chain kinase (MLCK) also can phosphorylate and activate MRLC; MLCK seems to act at the periphery of the cell, whereas ROCK is active in more central regions (Bresnick, 1999; Totsukawa et al., 2000). Although ERM proteins are positively regulated by Rho GTPases, it is not clear whether they are directly phosphorylated by ROCK or by phosphoinositide-regulated kinases (Fukata et al., 1998; Matsui et al., 1998, 1999). However, in *Drosophila* wing disc development Moe seems to act antagonistically to Rho1 and rok (Speck et al., 2003).

A major antagonist of the Rok/myosin signaling pathway is myosin light chain phosphatase (MLCP). This serine/
threonine protein phosphatase is a heterotrimer consisting of a catalytic subunit (PP1cα), a 20-kDa protein of unknown function, and the myosin binding subunit (Mbs) that targets MLCP to its substrates, which include both MLCP and Moein (Alessi et al., 1992; Fukata et al., 1996; Hartshorne et al., 1998). Phosphorylation by Rok of a specific threonine within a conserved motif in Mbs has been shown to inhibit MLCP activity; this suggests that Rok can positively activate MRC and Moein both by direct phosphorylation of these two substrates and also by inhibition of Mbs (Feng et al., 1999; Hartshorne et al., 1998; Kawano et al., 1999). Like zip mutants, Drosophila Myosin binding subunit (Mbs) mutants fail to complete dorsal closure, suggesting that this process requires spatially regulated myosin activation (Young et al., 1993, Muzzino et al., 2002; Tan et al., 2003). Mbs is also required for the growth of ring canals during oogenesis, and genetic interactions suggest that it opposes the functions in imaginal disc development of zip, Rho1, and rok (Muzzino et al., 2002; Tan et al., 2003). Likewise, Cereorhabditis elegans mel-11, which encodes Mbs, and let-502, which encodes Rok, have opposite functions in embryonic elongation (Wissmann et al., 1999).

Photoreceptor differentiation progresses across the Drosophila eye disc from posterior to anterior and is preceded by an epithelial indentation known as the morphogenetic furrow (MF). Cells in the MF undergo a transient contraction along the apical-basal axis and constrict their apical surfaces (Ready et al., 1976). After emerging from the MF, some of these cells assemble into ommatidial clusters, differentiate into photoreceptors, and extend axons through the optic stalk into the brain (reviewed by Wolff et al., 1997). We identified Mbs mutations in a screen for genes required for normal photoreceptor differentiation (Janody et al., 2004), and we report here our findings on the role of Mbs in photoreceptor development. The results support that photoreceptor neurons require Mbs to reduce myosin activity and thus prevent their cell bodies from migrating toward their axon terminals.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fly Stocks

Fly stocks used include safl2A1 (Jordan and Kares, 1997), safl2E2021 (Winter et al., 2001), zip1, zfh1, disco1, UAS-CDBGFP, daughterless-GAL4, eyless-GAL4, GMR-GAL4 (Flybase), UAS-myoE300 (Speck et al., 2003), rok1, and UAS-rokCAT (Winter et al., 2001).

Genetics

A complementation group consisting of four alleles was isolated from a mosaic screen for mutations affecting early eye development (Janody et al., 2004), and was found to be allelic to MbsTM1. These mutations also failed to complement p3′-element insertions, III5095304, III5043135, and III503802, which were listed in Flybase as or shown by inverse polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to be insertions in the gene, but complemented excisions of these P-elements. MbsTM1 seemed to be weaker than the three alleles used in this article, MbsTM4, MbsTM6, and MbsTM7. Mbs mutant eye disc clones were generated by crossing FRT08, Mbs/TM6 males to FRT08, Arm-lacZ; escflP1 or FRT08, Ush-GFP; escflP1 females. Positively labeled clones were made by crossing FRT08, MbsTM4/TM6B; UAS-CDBGFP males to escflP1; tub-GAL4; FRT08, tub-GAL80 females. Overexpression of UAS transgenes such as UAS-rokCAT specifically in Mbs mutant clones was done by crossing FRT08, Mbs; UAS-rokCAT/Smed-TM6B males to escflP1, UAS-GFP; tub-GAL4; FRT08, tub-GAL80 females. rok1 eye disc clones resulted from crosses between FRT19, arm-lacZ/2; escflP1/TM6B and FRT19, rok1/2; FRT17. Mbs mutant wing disc clones were generated by crossing FRT08, Mbs/TM6 males to FRT08, Ush-GFP; escflP1, Ush-GFP; Smed-TM6B females, or to FRT08, P[w] 2B/+TM3; hs-flp122 females and heat-shocking the larvae for 1 h at 37°C in both first and second instars. Mbs mutant clones in a disco background were made by crossing disco1, FRT08, Ush-GFP; TM6B females to w; cyflP2, FRT08, MbsTM4/TM6B males. Male larvae were dissected.

Immunostaining, Histology, and In Situ Hybridization

Primary antibodies used were rat anti-Elav (1:5; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, Iowa City, IA), mouse anti-Elav (W9A9; 1:10; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank), mouse anti-Neuroglian (Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank), rabbit anti-β-galactosidase (1:5000; Cappel Laboratories, Durham, NC), mouse anti-β-galactosidase (1:200; Promega, Madison, WI), rabbit anti-Atonal (1:5000; Jarman et al., 1994), rabbit anti-phospho-MRLC (1:10; Cell Signaling Technology, Beverly, MA), rabbit anti-MRLC (1:10, kindly provided by Tien Hsu, Medical University of South Carolina, Charleston, SC), rabbit anti-phospho-ERM (1:5; Cell Signaling Technology), rat anti-Crunches (Fl1; 1:100; Pelikka et al., 2002), rat anti-DE-Cadherin (1:20, Oda et al., 1994), rabbit anti-Pal (1:500; Bhat et al., 1999; Pielage et al., 2003), mouse anti-phosphotyrosine (1:100; Upstate Biotechnology, Lake Placid, NY), mouse anti-Nubbin (1:10; Ng et al., 1995), rabbit anti-MHC (1:250; Jordan and Kares, 1997), mouse anti-myosin (1:50; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA), mouse anti-Chaoptin (24B10; 1:50; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank), rabbit anti-GFP (1:100; Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR), and mouse anti-GFAP (1:100; Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Rhodamine-conjugated phalloidin (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO) was used at 0.3 μM. Eye and wing imaginal discs or eye disc-brain complexes were dissected in 0.1 M sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.2) and then usually fixed in PEM (0.1 M Pipes, pH 7.0, 2 mM MgSO4, 1 mM EGTA) containing 4% formaldehyde with the following exceptions: for phospho-MRLC, MHC, phospho-ERM, DE-Cadherin, and Chaoptin, PLP fixative (75 mM lysine, 37 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.2, 10 mM sodium mperiodate, 2% formaldehyde) was used. For Crumbs staining, discs were fixed in PEM containing 10% formaldehyde. Aprotinin- and fluorescein- and biotin-conjugated antibodies were used (1:200; Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, West Grove, PA). Fluorescent images were collected on a Leica TCS NT confocal microscope and processed using Volocity 2.0.1 or Adobe software. Adult wings were mounted in methyl salicylate: Canada balsam (1:2). Digoxigenin-UTP labeled RNA probes homologous to the Mbs coding region were used for in situ hybridization to eye discs (Maurel-Zafra and Treisman, 2000).

Molecular Biology

To identify the molecular alterations of Mbs mutant alleles, each was balanced over TM6BGFP. Genomic DNA was prepared from the original soegenic FRT08 and from homozygous mutant embryos, which were amplified by PCR and sequenced. The Mbs sequence was obtained from two Berkeley Drosophila Genome Project cDNA clones (R6E3915 and AT12677). The cDNA from AT12677 lacks a 129-amino acid exon in the central region (Figure 2A). The full-length Mbs cDNA was amplified by PCR from the R6E3915 clone with Pfl Turbo (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA) and cloned into HA-pUAST as a Ndel/KpnI fragment to generate UAS-Mbs. To generate UAS-MbsV300, the N-terminal 300 amino acids of Mbs were amplified and cloned into HA-pUAST as a Ndel/Xmal fragment.

Genetic Interaction Analyses

For dsh− interactions, cells with multiple wing hairs were counted on both the ventral and dorsal surfaces of the wing area demarcated by the third and fifth longitudinal wing veins, the crossveins, and the wing margin. In total, 21 wings per genotype were counted. For pmh− transgenes, the ommatidia missing from the apical level in Mbs mutant eye disc clones were divided by the total number of ommatidia expected in the clones. At least 15 clones per genotype were counted. In both cases, statistical significance was determined by unpaired Student's t test.

RESULTS

Mbs Mutant Photoreceptors Lose Their Apical Localization but Maintain Their Polarity

We have carried out a mosaic genetic screen to identify genes required for the normal pattern of photoreceptor differentiation (Janody et al., 2004). In this screen, we isolated four alleles of Myosin binding subunit (Mbs), which encodes a subunit of the Drosophila myosin light chain phosphatase enzyme. Loss of Mbs led to an apparent reduction in photoreceptor differentiation in mutant clones in the eye disc, as evidenced by staining with the neuronal nuclear marker Elav (Figure 1, A–C). However, expression of the bHLH transcription factor Atonal, an early marker of differentiation (Jarman et al., 1994), was largely normal even in eye discs containing very large Mbs clones generated in a Minute background (our unpublished data). In the apical region of the eye disc, Mbs mutant clones showed a reduction in both the number of ommatidial clusters and the number of Elav-
However, we found that basally located light chain phosphatase (Fukata Moesin) would resemble the phenotype of wing disc cells mutant for them to migrate basally and through the optic stalk. This undergo an epithelial to mesenchymal transition, permitting Mbs they are found in wild-type tissue (Figure 1, I presented above mislocalized nuclei, but below the level at which (Muller, 2003). These markers of the apical membrane were still epithelium (Figure 1F).

Cells had no visible contact with the apical surface of the CD8-GFP supported this conclusion, because some mutant markers labeling mutant cells with the membrane marker results. First, because myosin can control cell shape through which outlines apical cell membranes, and phalloidin, which mutant clones, and no ectopic constriction is visible in posterior regions. (I–L) Cross-sections of discs with Mbs clones stained with anti-E-cadherin (I, blue in J), anti-Elav (red in J), anti-Patj (K, red in L), and anti-β-galactosidase to mark wild-type tissue (green in J and L). E-cadherin and Patj are still expressed in mutant tissue, but at a more basal level than in wild-type tissue; staining is apical to mislocalized nuclei (J).

expressing nuclei within each cluster. However, we found that clusters of photoreceptor nuclei were present at a more basal level. This could be most easily visualized in cross-sections of the tissue (Figure 1, D and E). Ectopic Elav staining also was detected in the optic stalk, where it is normally absent (Figure 1C).

We considered several possible explanations of these results. First, because myosin can control cell shape through its interactions with actin filaments, we thought that the basal localization of Mbs mutant photoreceptor nuclei might be caused by cell shape changes such as those that normally occur in the MF. To look at cell shape, we stained Mbs mutant clones with anti-phosphotyrosine (p-Tyr) antibody, which outlines apical cell membranes, and phalloidin, which marks actin filaments. We saw only a slight reduction in apical constriction in the MF in Mbs mutant clones, and no ectopic apical constriction in more posterior regions of the disc (Figure 1, G and H; our unpublished data). Thus, cell shape changes are unlikely to be responsible for the altered nuclear localization of Mbs mutant photoreceptors.

Another possibility is that Mbs mutant photoreceptors might undergo an epithelial to mesenchymal transition, permitting them to migrate basally and through the optic stalk. This would resemble the phenotype of wing disc cells mutant for Moesin (Moe), which encodes a reported substrate of myosin light chain phosphatase (Fukata et al., 1998; Speck et al., 2003). However, we found that basally located Mbs mutant photoreceptors retained at least some elements of their epithelial character and their polarity, as indicated by Pals-associated tight junction protein (Patj), Crumbs, and DE-Cadherin staining (Mulder, 2003). These markers of the apical membrane were still present above mislocalized nuclei, but below the level at which they are found in wild-type tissue (Figure 1, I–L; our unpublished data). These results also suggested that the entire Mbs mutant cell was mislocalized, rather than just the nucleus. Positively labeling mutant cells with the membrane marker CD8-GFP supported this conclusion, because some mutant cells had no visible contact with the apical surface of the epithelium (Figure 1F). Mbs mutant photoreceptors thus seem to leave the epithelium and move into the optic stalk without becoming mesenchymal.

Loss of Myosin Binding Subunit Causes the Mutant Eye Phenotype

Like the myosin binding subunits of other species, Drosophila Mbs contains multiple N-terminal ankyrin repeats, a conserved motif (RRSTQGVTL) surrounding the key inhibitory threonine site, T993, and a C-terminal domain similar to a leucine zipper (Figure 2A; Shimizu et al., 1994; Fujioka et al., 1998; Harshborne et al., 1998). The first 300 amino acids of Mbs are sufficient for constitutive myosin phosphatase activity (Tanaka et al., 1998; Totsukawa et al., 2000). To determine the nature of our Mbs alleles, we sequenced genomic DNA from homozygous mutant embryos. We found that Mbs T541, Mbs T666, and Mbs T791 each introduce a stop codon within the first 250 amino acids of Mbs and thus are likely to be null alleles (Figure 2A). These three alleles had indistinguishable mutant phenotypes in the eye disc. We also showed by in situ hybridization that Mbs is expressed at a low level throughout the third instar eye disc (Figure 2, B and C).

We generated transgenic fly lines expressing either the full-length Mbs cDNA (including an alternatively spliced exon) (Mizuno et al., 2002) or a truncated form of Mbs predicted to be constitutively active (MbsN300) under the control of UAS sites (Figure 2A). Ubiquitous expression of full-length Mbs driven by daughterless (da)-GAL4 partially rescued the embryonic lethality of Mbs trans-heterozygotes, allowing survival to the pupal or adult stages (Table 1). We also showed that expression of UAS-Mbs specifically in Mbs mutant eye disc clones using the MARCM system (Lee and Luo, 1999) rescued eye development; cross-sections showed that Mbs mutant photoreceptor nuclei were restored to their normal apical level (Figure 2, D–G). These results confirm that the photoreceptor phenotype is due to loss of Mbs activity. Ubiquitous expression of Mbs in wild-type flies had no phenotype, whereas expression of Mbs N300 caused lethality at or before the pupal stage (Table 1); this would be...
consistent with MbsN300 exhibiting unregulated activity. However, expression of MbsN300 in the eye disc with 

Mbs Is Not Required for the Apical Localization of Wing Disc Cells

To determine whether Mbs affected the apical localization of cells in other epithelial tissues, we examined its effect on the wing imaginal disc. Mbs mutant clones in adult wings produced stunted and forked wing hairs (Figure 3A). To ascertain whether this phenotype was due to loss of apical localization of wing disc cells, we stained Mbs mutant clones in the wing disc with an antibody to the nuclear transcription factor Nubbin (Ng et al., 1995). Cross-sections of these clones demonstrated that the wing cell nuclei were all still present at the same position as in wild-type tissue (Figure 3, B and C). This result was further supported by the normal apical localization of Crumbs and DE-Cadherin in Mbs Clones (Figure 3, D and E; our unpublished data). These results indicate that the Mbs mutant eye phenotype must result from a mechanism absent in the wing.

Drosophila myosin II, encoded by zipper (zip), has been shown to act downstream of dishevelled (dsh) in the control of wing hair number; reducing zip dosage enhances the multiple hair phenotype induced by loss of dsh (Winter et al., 2001). We therefore tested whether enhancing myosin activity by reducing Mbs dosage might have the opposite effect on dsh. We found that removing one copy of Mbs attenuated the multiple wing hair phenotype of dsh1 mutants by 50–60% (Figure 3, H–J). This indicates that Mbs functions down-stream of dsh to antagonize its block of multiple hair formation. However, like other myosin regulators (Winter et al., 2001), Mbs had no effect on the planar polarity defects of dsh1.

Mbs Mediates Its Effects on the Eye through Sqh Dephosphorylation

Two substrates for the phosphatase activity of MLCP have been described based on in vitro biochemical experiments: MLCP, encoded in Drosophila by spaghetti squash (sqh; Karess et al., 1991), and the ERK protein Moe (Fukata et al., 1998; Harishorne et al., 1998). To test whether one of these proteins was the primary target of Mbs in the eye disc, we first used phospho-specific antibodies to examine their phosphorylation state in Mbs mutant cells. High levels of phosphorylated Sqh (p-Sqh) are normally restricted to cells in the MF; however, p-Sqh staining was greatly increased in Mbs mutant clones both anterior and posterior to the MF (Figure 4, A–D). The level of Sqh itself, visualized with a nonphospho-specific antibody, was not altered (our unpublished data). Abnormally high levels of p-Sqh staining were also visible in Mbs mutant clones in the wing disc (Figure 3, F and G). In contrast, we detected no increase in phosphorylated Moe (p-Moe) levels in Mbs mutant clones (Figure 4, E and H). p-Moe staining was observed both in the undifferentiated cells that surround each ommatidium (Figure 4, E and F), and in the apical regions of photoreceptor clusters (Figure 4, G and H). These results show that Mbs is necessary to restrict the phosphorylation of Sqh, but not Moe, in the eye disc.

We obtained further evidence that Mbs acts through Sqh by looking at the effects of sqh transgenes with phosphorylation site mutations on the Mbs mutant phenotype. Previous biochemical and genetic studies have shown that serine 21 and threonine 20 are the conserved primary and secondary phosphorylation sites, respectively (Pearson et al., 1984; Hartshorne et al., 1998; Ohtsuka et al., 1998).

Table 1. Mbs rescues the lethality of Mbs mutants and antagonizes Rok function

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rescue of Lethality</th>
<th>Pupal stage</th>
<th>Adulthood</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>da-GAL4; Mbs791/TM6B X Mbs741/TM6B</td>
<td>0/191 (0%)*</td>
<td>0/120 (0%)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>da-GAL4; Mbs791/TM6B X UAS-Mbs1, Mbs741/TM6B</td>
<td>96/305 (91%)</td>
<td>32/175 (45%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>da-GAL4 X UAS-Mbs1/TM6B</td>
<td>123/225 (121%)</td>
<td>111/190 (141%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>da-GAL4; Mbs741/TM6B X Mbs666/TM6B</td>
<td>0/404 (0%)</td>
<td>0/237 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>da-GAL4; Mbs741/TM6B X UAS-Mbs2, Mbs666/TM6B</td>
<td>43/151 (80%)</td>
<td>3/99 (6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>da-GAL4 X UAS-Mbs300/TM6B</td>
<td>195/467 (72%)</td>
<td>0/219 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>da-GAL4 X UAS-rokCAT/TM6B</td>
<td>33/308 (12%)</td>
<td>0/167 (0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>da-GAL4 X UAS-Mbs300, UAS-rokCAT/TM6B</td>
<td>372/928 (67%)</td>
<td>118/499 (31%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The number of nonbalancer flies reaching pupation or adulthood is shown over the total number of flies at that stage. Survival is shown as a percentage of the expected number of nonbalancer flies in parentheses.
Figure 3. Mbs affects wing hair development but not the wing disc epithelium. (A) MbsT666 mutant clones in an adult wing. The clones are unmarked, but can be identified by the short and sometimes forked wing hairs (outlined with red dashed lines). (B–E) Cross sections of third instar wing discs containing MbsT666 mutant clones, with wild-type tissue labeled with GFP in green in C and E. Nuclei are stained with anti-Nubbain (B, red in C), and the apical surface is stained with anti-E-cadherin (D, red in E). No alterations in apical/basal localization are apparent within the clones. (F and G) Phospho-MRLC antibody staining of MbsT666 clones in the wing disc (F, red in G). Wild-type tissue is marked with GFP (green in G). p-Sqh is strongly up-regulated in the clones. (H) Multiple wing hair formation and altered polarity in a dsh/Y mutant wing. (I) Suppression of multiple hairs, but not polarity, in dsh/Y; MbsT666/+ . Examples of duplicated wing hairs are circled in red. (J) Quantification of the suppression of dsh/Y multiple wing hairs in Mbs heterozygotes. Wing hairs were counted in a region bordered by veins 3 and 5, the cross-veins and the wing margin.

Ikebe et al., 1986; Amano et al., 1996; Jordan and Karess, 1997). We therefore made use of sqhA21, an inactivating mutation in which serine 21 is changed to alanine, and sqhE20E21, a phosphomimetic mutation in which both amino acids are changed to glutamic acid (Jordan and Karess, 1997; Winter et al., 2001). These two transgenes are expressed from the endogenous sqh promoter. Neither had any visible effect on eye development when one copy was present in an otherwise wild-type background (our unpublished data). We thus have no evidence that Moe affects wing hair development but not the wing disc epithelium. (A) MbsT666 mutant clones in an adult wing. The clones are unmarked, but can be identified by the short and sometimes forked wing hairs (outlined with red dashed lines). (B–E) Cross sections of third instar wing discs containing MbsT666 mutant clones, with wild-type tissue labeled with GFP in green in C and E. Nuclei are stained with anti-Nubbain (B, red in C), and the apical surface is stained with anti-E-cadherin (D, red in E). No alterations in apical/basal localization are apparent within the clones. (F and G) Phospho-MRLC antibody staining of MbsT666 clones in the wing disc (F, red in G). Wild-type tissue is marked with GFP (green in G). p-Sqh is strongly up-regulated in the clones. (H) Multiple wing hair formation and altered polarity in a dsh/Y mutant wing. (I) Suppression of multiple hairs, but not polarity, in dsh/Y; MbsT666/+ . Examples of duplicated wing hairs are circled in red. (J) Quantification of the suppression of dsh/Y multiple wing hairs in Mbs heterozygotes. Wing hairs were counted in a region bordered by veins 3 and 5, the cross-veins and the wing margin.

Mbs Acts through Zip and Is Antagonized by Rok and Other Kinases
The major role of MRLC phosphorylation is thought to be the activation of MHC (Craig et al., 1983; Jordan and Karess, 1997). We therefore examined the role of the MHC Zip in generating the abnormal localization of Mbs mutant photoreceptors by making Mbs mutant clones that were heterozygous for zip1. As expected, loss of one copy of zip significantly improved the eye phenotype; cross sections revealed that Mbs mutant photoreceptor nuclei were restored to the apical level (Figure 4, M–P). Only 14% of 71 mutant ommatidia were missing from the apical level. This provides additional evidence that Mbs functions through myosin II to maintain the apical localization of photoreceptors. Because we saw no effect on the level or distribution of Zip protein in Mbs clones (our unpublished data), Mbs is likely to act by regulating the activity of Zip through its effect on Sqh.

The critical kinase for Sqh in wing hair development seems to be Rok (Winter et al., 2001); Rok might therefore antagonize the activity of Mbs. To test this, we used transgenes expressing activated forms of each protein, the catalytic domain of Rok (RokCAT) or a truncated form of Mbs predicted to be constitutively active (MbsN300; Figure 2A). Ubiquitous expression of either gene led to pupal lethality, although Elav staining of the third instar eye disc seemed normal. However, overexpression of both transgenes allowed ~30% survival to adulthood, suggesting that Rok and Mbs counteract each other’s activity, perhaps by acting on common substrates (Table 1). Although Rok can also directly phosphorylate and inactivate Mbs (Feng et al., 1999; Kawano et al., 1999), its target site is not present in the truncated Mbs protein.

Loss of rok produces an eye phenotype much milder than loss of zip, affecting ommatidial spacing and photoreceptor number but not cytokinesis (Winter et al., 2001). We therefore wondered whether Rok was the major kinase responsible for the phosphorylation of Sqh in the eye disc. Surprisingly, p-Sqh staining was not altered in clones mutant for a null allele of rok, rok2 (Figure 5, E–F). This suggests that another kinase can phosphorylate Sqh in the eye disc. Consistent with this, we did not see a significant enhancement of
the photoreceptor localization defect in Mbs mutant clones expressing RokCAT (Figure 5, G–H).

**Mbs Mutant Cell Bodies Move toward Their Axon Terminals**

Because the apical localization of photoreceptors, but not wing disc cells, requires Mbs, we considered the possibility that axon extension was important in directing the movement of Mbs mutant cell bodies. Mbs mutant cell bodies indeed seemed to move toward their axon terminals, because they were found in the optic stalk and even within the lamina (Figure 6A). However, loss of Mbs does not seem to cause overextension of axons, as the terminals of these mislocalized cells were present within the region of the wild-type projection (Figure 6A).

---

**Figure 4.** Sqh is the critical substrate for Mbs in eye development. (A–P) Eye discs containing Mbs clones, with wild-type tissue marked with anti-β-galactosidase staining in green (B, D, F, H, J, L, N, and P). (A–D) MbsT666 clones stained with an antibody to phospho-MRLC (A and C, red in B and D). (E–H) MbsT541 clones stained with an antibody to phospho-ERM (E and G red in F and H). Cross sections of the discs in A and B are shown in C and D, and cross sections of the discs in E and F are shown in G and H. p-Sqh is strongly up-regulated in Mbs clones, but the levels of p-Moe are unaffected, although p-Moe staining is normally at the apical surface and seems more basal in the clones. (I–L) show eye discs carrying the sqhA21 transgene and containing MbsT541 clones. Anti-Elav staining marks photoreceptor nuclei (I and K and red in J and L). (K and L) Cross sections of the disc in I and J. (M–P) Eye discs carrying the sqhE20E21 transgene and containing MbsT666 clones. Anti-Elav staining marks photoreceptor nuclei (M and O and red in N and P). (O and P) Cross sections of the disc in M and N. Nuclei are largely restored to the apical layer in Mbs clones by the presence of sqhA21 but are predominantly basal in the presence of sqhE20E21. (Q–T) Cross sections of eye discs containing MbsT666 clones, positively marked with GFP (green in R and T) and also expressing UAS-mycmoeT559A (Q and R) or UAS-mycmoeT559D (S and T) driven by tub-GAL4. Anti-Elav staining marks photoreceptor nuclei (Q and S and red in R and T). Neither transgene obviously alters the severity of the Mbs phenotype. (T) Quantification of the proportion of ommatidia completely missing from the apical layer in Mbs clones in a wild-type background or in a sqhA21 or sqhE20E21 background. p < 0.01 for a comparison of sqhA21 to wild type, and p < 0.001 for a comparison of sqhE20E21 to wild type.
DISCUSSION

Mbs Functions to Limit Myosin Activity in the Eye Disc

We have shown that Mbs exerts its effects on eye development by regulating the phosphorylation state of the Sqh MRCL subunit of nonmuscle myosin II. The level of phosphorylated Sqh is greatly increased in Mbs mutant clones in both the eye and wing discs, and nonphosphorylatable or phosphomimetic forms of Sqh strongly modulate the severity of the Mbs phenotype (Figure 4). In addition, the effect of zip dosage on the Mbs phenotype indicates that p-Sqh acts through Zip to control photoreceptor localization. It also has been shown that rat Mbs can bind to and dephosphorylate Moesin in vitro, and it was suggested that Mbs might mediate regulation of Moesin by Rho (Fukata et al., 1998). However, our in vivo data show that in the eye disc Mbs is not required to dephosphorylate Moesin. If dephosphorylation of Moesin by Mbs occurs in vivo, it may be limited to specific tissues or developmental stages.

The identity of the kinase antagonized by Mbs in the eye is less clear (Figure 5I). Although it has been reported that Rok can phosphorylate Sqh in vitro and that p-Sqh levels are reduced in rok mutant larvae (Mizuno et al., 1999; Winter et al., 2001), we detected normal levels of p-Sqh in rok2 eye disc clones. In addition, overexpression of Rok-CAT in the eye disc had no visible effect on photoreceptor differentiation or localization (our unpublished data), and did not seem to enhance the Mbs phenotype. Consistent with these results, another group observed little effect of Mbs dosage on the photoreceptor phenotype caused by Rho activation in the eye disc (Tan et al., 2003). Rok may have a more significant effect on Sqh phosphorylation in other tissues; we found that the lethality caused by overexpression of constitutively active Mbs was partially suppressed by coexpression of the lethal activated kinase (PAK), which has been shown to increase.

Another kinase that might phosphorylate Sqh in the eye disc is MLCK. It has been reported that MLCK phosphorylates MRLC at the periphery of fibroblast cells, whereas ROCK acts in the central domain of these cells (Totsukawa et al., 2000). Drosophila Stretchin-MLCK is a very large compound gene that produces multiple alternatively spliced transcripts (Champagne et al., 2000), and no mutations in this gene have been identified, preventing us from analyzing its interactions with Mbs. Another possible kinase is p21-activated kinase (PAK), which has been shown to increase connected (disco) mutant background. In disco mutants, the optic stalk is absent and the photoreceptor axons remain within the eye disc, where they extend and form basal tangles (Steller et al., 1987). However, the focus of disco activity is in the optic lobe pioneer neurons in the brain, and the photoreceptors themselves are normal (Campos et al., 1995). In this background, Mbs mutant photoreceptors still moved basally within the eye disc (Figure 6, B–F). However, rather than moving toward the site of the optic stalk, the mutant nuclei were found more centrally at the basal surface of the eye disc, and were concentrated at sites where many axons were present (Figure 6F). This rules out the possibility that the posterior eye disc produces an attractant for the mutant photoreceptors, and suggests that the location of the axon terminals directs the movement of the cell bodies.

The best test of whether axon outgrowth is required for the Mbs phenotype would be to determine whether Mbs mutant photoreceptors remain within the epithelium when axon outgrowth is prevented. However, there is currently no way to completely block axon outgrowth, and most methods that produce a partial block also would cause other disruptions of the cytoskeleton (Kim et al., 2001; Lee and Kolodziej, 2002). As an alternative, we generated Mbs clones in a disco.
the level of phosphorylated MRLC in cultured cells (Kiosses et al., 1999) and to phosphorylate MRLC in vitro (Chew et al., 1998; Crawford et al., 2001). Interestingly, overexpression of a myristylated form of PAK in Drosophila photoreceptors causes their cell bodies to detach from the eye disc epithelium and enter the brain, strongly resembling the Mbs mutant phenotype (Hing et al., 1999). Pak mutant photoreceptors develop normally except for axon guidance defects (Hing et al., 1999), suggesting that Pak is not essential for myosin activation in these cells. However, a second Pak

Figure 6. Mbs mutant photoreceptors move toward their axon terminals. (A) Third instar eye disc-brain complex containing unmarked MbsT541 clones, stained with anti-Chaoptin to label all photoreceptor cell membranes. Mislocalized cell bodies are visible within the optic stalk and the lamina (arrows). The latter cells project into the medulla (white arrowhead). (B–F) Eye discs containing MbsT666 clones generated in a disco1 background. Photoreceptors are stained with anti-Elav (B and D, red in C, E, and F), and wild-type tissue is marked with GFP in green (C and E). Photoreceptors still move basally in disco mutants. (F) Basal focal plane with axons stained with anti-Chaoptin (green). Mislocalized nuclei are present close to a concentration of axons. (G) Comparison of cell migration to neuronal axon extension. Actin polymerization is important to extend the leading edge of a migrating cell and the growth cone of a neuron. During cell migration, phosphorylated myosin retracts the rear of the cell. Mbs may prevent a similar retraction of neuronal cell bodies.
gene, *mushroom bodies tiny*, is required for late photoreceptor morphogenesis and adherens junction integrity (Schneberger and Raabe, 2003), and a third *Pak* gene is present in the genome, raising the possibility that these enzymes have redundant functions and complicating any analysis of their interactions with *Mbs*.

**Mbs Prevents Loss of Photoreceptors from the Eye Disc Epithelium**

The excessive myosin activity present in *Mbs* mutant photoreceptors causes them to adopt a more basal location in the eye disc and sometimes to enter the optic stalk. We have addressed several possible mechanisms for this phenotype. Myosin can affect the shape of cultured cells by promoting the assembly of stress fibers and focal adhesions (Eto *et al.*, 2000; Totsukawa *et al.*, 2000), and a transient accumulation of p-Sqh accompanies the apical constriction and apical-basal contraction of cells in the morphogenetic furrow (Figures 4A and 5F). We therefore wondered whether loss of *Mbs* might induce these cell shape changes in ectopic regions of the eye disc, resulting in mutant cells that formed a constitutive furrow. However, visualization of the apical surface of mutant clones by p-Tyr or phalloidin staining did not reveal any ectopic apical constriction of cells surrounding the photoreceptor clusters, suggesting that myosin phosphorylation is not sufficient to induce the cell shape changes that occur in the morphogenetic furrow. In addition, the integrity of the epithelial surface surrounding the photoreceptor clusters indicates that loss of *Mbs* specifically affects the localization of photoreceptor cells.

Another possibility was that *Mbs* mutant cells might undergo an epithelial to mesenchymal transition and become migratory. This phenotype has been reported for wing disc cells mutant for *Moe*, which encodes a potential substrate of Mbs (Fukata *et al.*, 1998; Speck *et al.*, 2003). However, *Mbs* mutant cells in the wing disc remain within the epithelium and show no change in their apical-basal localization, although p-Sqh is up-regulated to a similar extent in both the wing and eye discs. In addition, *Mbs* mutant photoreceptors seem to retain some aspects of their epithelial character; they continue to express the epithelial apical junction proteins Patj, Crumbs, and E-cadherin (Mueller, 2003; Figure 1, and our unpublished data). These proteins are present apical to mislocalized nuclei, suggesting that the entire cell is affected rather than the position of the nucleus within the cell. In contrast, the nuclei of *klarsicht* or *Glued* mutant cells are basally located within the cell due to defective dynein function (Fan and Ready, 1997; Mosley-Bishop *et al.*, 1999).

The model we favor is that unregulated myosin generates a traction force that pulls photoreceptor cell bodies toward their axon terminals. This would explain why the *Mbs* phenotype is specific to photoreceptors rather than wing disc cells or undifferentiated cells in the eye disc. It also would explain why the movement of mutant cells is directed toward the optic stalk or, in a *disco* background, toward the axon terminals within the eye disc. This abnormal force also might be accompanied by changes in adhesion to other cells or the substrate. Loss of *Mbs* could reduce the adhesion of epithelial cells to their neighbors, preventing them from withstanding the normal forces involved in axon extension. However, *Mbs* clones do not show the smooth borders characteristic of changes in adhesive properties (Dahmann and Basler, 1999).

We do not know whether the force generated by excessive myosin activity is located at the growth cone or in the cell body, although we favor the latter model because the highest levels of p-Sqh are found in apical regions of both wild-type and *Mbs* mutant cells (Figure 4, C and D). In vertebrate growth cones, two isoforms of the heavy chain of nonmuscle myosin II seem to have different locations and functions (Brown and Bridgman, 2003a). MHCIIB is more peripheral and is required for axon outgrowth, whereas MHCHA is central and is required for cell adhesion (Rochlin *et al.*, 1995; Wylie *et al.*, 1998; Bridgman *et al.*, 2001; Wylie and Chantler, 2001; Brown and Bridgman, 2003b). *Drosophila* has only a single *zip* gene, which may perform both functions. The importance of MHCIIB in generating the traction force that allows growth cone extension (Bridgman *et al.*, 2001) suggests that this force might be increased in the absence of MLCP activity. There is a precedent for the idea that axon outgrowth can exert a pulling force on the cell body, because it has been shown that chick motor neurons will migrate out of the spinal cord along their axons if their movement is not blocked by boundary cap cells (Vermelen *et al.*, 2003).

The other possibility is that the actuomyosin contraction takes place within the cell body, detaching it from surrounding cells and pulling it toward the growth cone. This would resemble the normal function of myosin in retracting the rear of migrating cells (Kolega, 2003; Ridley *et al.*, 2003; Uchida *et al.*, 2003). Cell detachment and shrinkage has been reported for fibroblasts treated with an inhibitor of MLCP activity (*Eto* *et al.*, 2000). Myosin light chain phosphatase activity may be specifically required in neuronal cells to allow axon extension to occur without triggering a migratory response in the cell body (Figure 6G).
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