# **Regulators of the Morphogenetic Furrow**

Jeffrey D. Lee and Jessica E. Treisman
Skirball Institute of Biomolecular Medicine and Department of Cell Biology,
NYU School of Medicine, 540 First Avenue, New York, NY 10016
Tel. (212) 263-1031, FAX (212) 263-7760, lee@saturn.med.nyu.edu,
treisman@saturn.med.nyu.edu

# **Table of contents**

- 1. Notch activation defines the initiation point
- 2. Hedgehog is essential for morphogenetic furrow movement
- 3. Decapentaplegic promotes morphogenetic furrow movement
- 4. Wingless inhibits morphogenetic furrow movement
- 5. Conclusions

Unlike other imaginal discs, the *Drosophila* eye disc has a progressive pattern of differentiation. Photoreceptor clusters begin to form at the posterior margin of the eye disc in the third larval instar, and more anterior rows of clusters then differentiate in succession (Ready et al., 1976). Just prior to their differentiation, cells undergo an apical constriction and apical-basal contraction that produces an indentation in the disc known as the morphogenetic furrow (MF; Ready et al., 1976). Cells anterior to the MF divide actively and appear unpatterned. Just posterior to the MF, cells assemble into evenly spaced rosettes; slightly more posteriorly these transform into arcs, and the arcs then close to produce five-cell preclusters (Wolff and Ready, 1991). Concurrently, these cells initiate a program of gene expression resulting in the appearance of neuralspecific markers in a defined sequence in the cells of each cluster (Tomlinson and Ready, 1987). Cells in the MF are arrested in the G1 phase of the cell cycle (Thomas et al., 1994); posterior to the MF, cells excluded from the preclusters undergo one more round of division, the second mitotic wave, to generate the remaining cells of each ommatidium (Ready et al., 1976; Wolff and Ready, 1991). This orderly and sequential pattern of differentiation, proliferation and morphogenesis is organized by a set of signaling molecules that also direct many other developmental processes. The expression patterns and interactions of these molecules in the developing eye disc are shown in Figure 1.

### Notch activation defines the initiation point

Photoreceptor differentiation initiates at the intersection of the dorsal-ventral (D-V) midline of the disc with the posterior margin. Determination of the D-V midline, or equator, is thus critical to delimit the initiation site. The dorsal side of the eye disc is defined during embryogenesis by its expression of the GATA transcription factor encoded by *pannier* (*pnr*; Heitzler et al., 1996; Maurel-Zaffran and Treisman, 2000; Ramain et al., 1993). *pnr* is required for the expression of *wingless* (*wg*), a member of the *Wnt* gene family (Cadigan and Nusse, 1997), at the dorsal margin of the eye disc (Maurel-Zaffran and Treisman, 2000). Together with the secreted protein Hedgehog (Hh), Wg then activates the expression of the three homeobox genes of the *Iroquois* complex (*Iro-C*), *mirror* (*mirr*), *araucan* (*ara*), and *caupolican* (*caup*; Cavodeassi et al., 1999; Gomez-

Skarmeta et al., 1996; Heberlein et al., 1998; Maurel-Zaffran and Treisman, 2000; McNeill et al., 1997). Expression of the *Iro-C* genes fills the dorsal compartment of the disc but ends sharply at the D-V midline; the mechanism by which this sharp expression boundary is established is not understood. The JAK/STAT pathway ligand Unpaired, which is present at the center of the posterior margin, appears to contribute to the ventral repression of these genes (Zeidler et al., 1999). This repression is maintained by chromatin-mediated mechanisms requiring the *Polycomb* group of genes (Netter et al., 1998).

The dorsally expressed Iro-C proteins repress the expression of *fringe* (*fng*), limiting it to the ventral compartment of the eye disc (Cavodeassi et al., 1999; Cho and Choi, 1998; Dominguez and de Celis, 1998; Yang et al., 1999). Fng is a glycosyltransferase that modifies the transmembrane receptor Notch (N) by adding N-acetylglucosamine to O-linked fucose residues (Bruckner et al., 2000; Moloney et al., 2000). This modification increases the affinity of N for its ligand Delta (Dl; Bruckner et al., 2000); in vivo, *fng*-expressing cells also appear less sensitive to an alternative ligand, Serrate (Ser; Panin et al., 1997). *Dl* expression is restricted to the dorsal side of the early eye disc and *Ser* to the ventral side (Cho and Choi, 1998; Dominguez and de Celis, 1998; Papayannopoulos et al., 1998). The presence of Fng in the ventral compartment thus limits N activation to the midline, where modified ventral N is exposed to dorsal Dl and unmodified dorsal N is exposed to ventral Ser. The initial domains of *Dl* and *Ser* expression may be controlled by Hh and Wg signaling from peripodial membrane cells (Cho et al., 2000).

A boundary of Fng expression is essential to trigger N activation and define a central initiation point; either loss of *fng* function or ubiquitous *fng* expression causes a loss of the eye that can be rescued by expressing an activated form of N (Cho and Choi, 1998; Dominguez and de Celis, 1998; Papayannopoulos et al., 1998). Similarly, ubiquitous expression of *caup* or *mirr* can abolish the eye (Cho and Choi, 1998; Dominguez and de Celis, 1998), while removal of all three *Iro-C* genes from clones of dorsal cells induces ectopic eyes composed of both mutant and wildtype cells (Cavodeassi et al., 1999; Pichaud and Casares, 2000). Because *fng* is misexpressed in the mutant cells, N becomes activated at the clonal boundary and may promote the initiation of an ectopic

MF. Removal of the upstream gene *pnr* from clones of cells also leads to ectopic dorsal eye development, and ubiquitous expression of an activated form of *pnr* prevents MF initiation (Maurel-Zaffran and Treisman, 2000). These results show that activation of N at a line source is both necessary and sufficient, in combination with signals present at the disc margin, to initiate differentiation.

## Hedgehog is essential for morphogenetic furrow movement

The *hh* gene is critical for initiation and progression of the MF. *hh* encodes a secreted protein related to vertebrate proteins of the Sonic hedgehog family (Fietz et al., 1994). Although *hh* has a very dynamic pattern of expression in the eye disc, its upstream regulators are unknown. During the second instar *hh* is expressed predominantly in the peripodial membrane, where it shifts from a ventral to a dorsal domain (Cho et al., 2000) that has been implicated in the regulation of D-V polarity (see above). In early third instar eye discs, *hh* is expressed at the center of the posterior margin, where it is required for the onset of photoreceptor differentiation (Borod and Heberlein, 1998; Dominguez and Hafen, 1997; Royet and Finkelstein, 1997). Using a temperature-sensitive allele, Borod and Heberlein (1998) determined that *hh* function was required for differentiation at the time of MF initiation, but not earlier.

During MF progression, *hh* is strongly expressed in the newly differentiating R2 and R5 photoreceptors, and more weakly in the other cells of the forming precluster (Heberlein et al., 1993; Ma et al., 1993). Hh secreted by these cells is essential to promote the differentiation of more anterior cells. Removal of *hh* function from the entire disc using eye-specific or temperature-sensitive alleles results in an arrest of MF progression that can be visualized by the presence of a full complement of photoreceptors in the most anterior row of ommatidia (Heberlein et al., 1993; Ma et al., 1993). When misexpressed in the anterior domain of the eye disc, Hh is sufficient to produce ectopic radially oriented morphogenetic furrows (Dominguez, 1999; Heberlein et al., 1995), and clones of cells lacking the negative regulators of Hh signaling *ptc* or *PKA* have the same effect (Chanut and Heberlein, 1995; Ma and Moses, 1995; Pan and Rubin, 1995; Strutt et al., 1995; Wehrli and Tomlinson, 1995). The range of Hh signaling in the eye is limited in part by the apical constriction of cells as they

enter the MF; cells that lack the actin binding protein Act up do not undergo apical constriction, allowing Hh to move further anteriorly and trigger precocious differentiation (Benlali et al., 2000). Despite a global requirement for Hh signaling during MF progression, clones of cells mutant for *smoothened* (*smo*), which encodes a cell autonomous receptor component, show only a delay in progression; this implies that Hh functions at least in part by activating a secondary signal (Dominguez, 1999; Greenwood and Struhl, 1999; Strutt and Mlodzik, 1997). This signal is probably the BMP family member encoded by *decapentaplegic* (*dpp*; see below).

Hh promotes differentiation by activating the expression of the proneural gene atonal (ato; Borod and Heberlein, 1998; Greenwood and Struhl, 1999). ato encodes a basic helix-loop-helix (bHLH) protein that is required for the formation of the "founder" R8 photoreceptor (Jarman et al., 1994). A broad stripe of *ato* expression just anterior to the MF is later refined first to a proneural cluster of cells and then to individual R8 cells (Dokucu et al., 1996; Jarman et al., 1994, 1995). ato appears to be a direct target of Hh signaling, since it is autonomously lost in *smo* clones; however, *smo* is also required for the subsequent downregulation of ato between the proneural clusters (Dominguez, 1999; Greenwood and Struhl, 1999). The repressive effect of Hh on ato may be mediated by the homeodomain protein Rough (Ro; Kimmel et al., 1990). ro and ato are expressed in complementary patterns, and Ro has been shown to repress the transcription of *ato* (Dokucu et al., 1996). Hh signaling is required for *ro* expression (Dominguez, 1999), and the gain of function mutation  $ro^{DOM}$  interferes with upregulation of ato by Hh (Chanut et al., 2000; Heberlein et al., 1993). Thus Hh regulates both the formation and the spacing of the R8 photoreceptors.

In addition to regulating genes that promote MF progression, *hh* lies upstream of another bHLH protein encoded by *hairy* (*h*; Heberlein et al., 1995; Ma et al., 1993; Pan and Rubin, 1995). H is present in a stripe anterior to the stripe of Ato, where it acts to inhibit differentiation in cooperation with the HLH protein Extramacrochaete (Brown et al., 1995). Hh signaling is required for *h* expression and is sufficient to ectopically activate *h* (Heberlein et al., 1995; Ma et al., 1993; Pan and Rubin, 1995); however, its effect on *h* is probably mediated by Dpp (see below).

Recent work has suggested that *hh* homologs may play a similar role in patterning the differentiation of neurons in the vertebrate retina (Jensen and Wallace, 1997; Levine et al., 1997; Neumann and Nüsslein-Volhard, 2000; Stenkamp et al., 2000). Retinal differentiation begins near the optic stalk and proceeds outward in a centrifugal pattern (Burrill and Easter, 1995; McCabe et al., 1999; Raymond et al., 1995; Schmitt and Dowling, 1996). The hh homologues Sonic hedgehog (Shh) and Tiggywinkle hedgehog (twhh) are expressed in the zebrafish ganglion cell layer at the time of ganglion cell differentiation and in the adjacent retinal pigmented epithelium at the time of photoreceptor differentiation (Neumann and Nüsslein-Volhard, 2000; Stenkamp et al., 2000). Reduction of Shh and Twhh production by antisense oligonucleotide injection, or using null mutations in the *shh* gene *sonic you* (*syu*), reduces retinal ganglion cell and rod photoreceptor differentiation, while blocking all Hh family signaling with cyclopamine can prevent ganglion cell neurogenesis (Neumann and Nüsslein-Volhard, 2000; Stenkamp et al., 2000). In addition, the expression of a shh reporter is lost in syu mutants (Neumann and Nüsslein-Volhard, 2000), suggesting that, as in the fly retina, hh expression requires reception of the Hh signal.

#### Decapentaplegic promotes morphogenetic furrow movement

dpp, which encodes a homologue of the secreted Bone Morphogenetic Proteins (BMPs) 2 and 4 (Padgett et al., 1987), acts downstream of Hh to perform a subset of its functions in MF movement. dpp is first expressed at the ventral margin of the first instar eye disc, and its expression subsequently expands to include the dorsal and posterior margins (Cho et al., 2000). Following the initiation of differentiation, dpp expression becomes restricted to a stripe of cells within the MF (Masucci et al., 1990). At both early and late stages, dpp expression is dependent on hh (Borod and Heberlein, 1998; Heberlein et al., 1993; Ma et al., 1993; Royet and Finkelstein, 1997; Strutt and Mlodzik, 1997).

Dpp signaling is critical for the initiation of differentiation. Large clones of cells mutant for dpp can block the formation of posterior eye regions (Heberlein et al., 1993). An eye-specific enhancer mutation,  $dpp^{d-blk}$ , prevents initiation from occurring in the ventral region of the posterior margin (Blackman et al., 1991;

Chanut and Heberlein, 1997a; St. Johnston et al., 1990; Wiersdorff et al., 1996), and a similar effect can be seen using temperature-sensitive *dpp* alleles (Chanut and Heberlein, 1997b). In addition, clones of cells mutant for genes encoding components of the Dpp signaling pathway, such as the type I receptor Thickveins (Tkv), the type II receptor Punt, or the SMADs Mothers against Dpp (Mad) and Medea, fail to differentiate when they contact the posterior margin of the disc (Burke and Basler, 1996; Das et al., 1998; Wiersdorff et al., 1996). Consistent with a function specific to initiation, misexpression of Dpp can induce ectopic MF initiation from the anterior margin even at a distance, although it does not induce photoreceptor differentiation in internal regions of the disc (Chanut and Heberlein, 1997b; Pignoni and Zipursky, 1997).

In the absence of *dpp* or of the downstream component encoded by *Mad*, expression of the eye specification genes eyes absent (eya), sine oculis (so) and dachshund (dac; see previous chapter) is not induced despite the presence of the upstream Pax-6 homologues encoded by eyeless (ey) and twin of eyeless (Chen et al., 1999; Curtiss and Mlodzik, 2000; Quiring et al., 1994; Czerny et al., 1999). This may explain the requirement for *dpp* in initiation, as loss of *Mad* function at the posterior margin can be rescued by supplying eya (Curtiss and Mlodzik, 2000). Ectopic *dpp* induces expression of *eya*, *so* and *dac* at the anterior eye disc margin, and ectopic *ey* can induce expression of these genes in other imaginal discs only when *dpp* is also present, confirming a critical role for *dpp* at this stage (Chen et al., 1999; Halder et al., 1998; Pignoni and Zipursky, 1997). However, eya and so are necessary to maintain the expression of *dpp*, suggesting that these genes act in an autoregulatory loop (Hazelett et al., 1998; Pignoni et al., 1997). Another important function for Dpp signaling may be to repress the expression of the homeoprotein Homothorax (Hth), which is present in all cells of the early eye disc and then becomes restricted to the anterior margin, where it blocks MF initiation (Pai et al., 1998; Pichaud and Casares, 2000).

Progression of the MF does not require Dpp signaling as strongly as initiation, as clones of cells mutant for *Mad*, *punt* or *tkv* in internal regions of the eye disc are able to differentiate almost normally (Burke and Basler, 1996; Wiersdorff et al., 1996) and to express *eya*, *so* and *dac* (Curtiss and Mlodzik, 2000). Because Dpp signaling is required for cell growth, large clones completely

lacking gene activity could not be analyzed; however, the alleles tested were able to completely block initiation while only delaying progression. Low levels of Dpp signaling may be required for MF progression, as complete removal of *dpp* function from the eye disc using a temperature-sensitive allele can arrest the MF (Chanut and Heberlein, 1997b). At this stage the functions of *dpp* and *hh* are partially redundant, as some photoreceptor differentiation is observed in clones mutant for the Hh receptor encoded by *smo* (Strutt and Mlodzik, 1997) but not in clones doubly mutant for *smo* and *Mad* or *tkv* (Curtiss and Mlodzik, 2000; Greenwood and Struhl, 1999).

Despite this redundancy, loss of Dpp signaling alone causes more subtle phenotypes than loss of Hh signaling. Dpp signaling is required for cells in the MF to arrest in the G1 phase of the cell cycle (Horsfield et al., 1998; Penton et al., 1997). In addition, upregulation of the negative regulator H does not occur in *tkv* mutant clones anterior to the MF (Greenwood and Struhl, 1999). However, *smo* mutant clones show high levels of H, presumably due to Dpp diffusing in from neighboring wildtype cells (Greenwood and Struhl, 1999). Hh may thus control progression by inducing some target genes, such as *ato*, directly and others, such as *h*, indirectly through *dpp*. However, redundancy between the two pathways requires *ato* and other Hh target genes to be activated by Dpp in the absence of Hh. In *smo* mutant clones, the first broad stripe of *ato* expression is missing, but expression in single R8 cells is present, while *tkv* mutant clones also show a reduction in the initial broad *ato* expression (Dominguez, 1999; Greenwood and Struhl, 1999). The most likely explanation of these results is that separate enhancers of *ato* can respond to Hh and Dpp signaling.

## Wingless inhibits morphogenetic furrow movement

wg is expressed in a dorsal domain of the margin and peripodial membrane of the early eye disc (Cavodeassi et al., 1999; Cho et al., 2000), although its secreted protein product is more broadly distributed (Cho et al., 2000; Royet and Finkelstein, 1997). Prior to MF initiation, wg expression becomes restricted to the anterior dorsal and ventral margins of the eye disc (Baker, 1988). The dorsal expression domain of wg is established in the embryo by pnr (Maurel-Zaffran and Treisman, 2000), while ventral wg expression is dependent on hth

(Pichaud and Casares, 2000). *dpp* is required to restrict *wg* expression to the anterior and to maintain its repression at the posterior margin (Royet and Finkelstein, 1997; Wiersdorff et al., 1996).

An important role of wg is to prevent ectopic photoreceptor differentiation from initiating at the lateral margins. When wg activity is removed during the larval stages using a temperature-sensitive allele, a MF initiates at the dorsal margin and progresses toward the center of the disc; the ventral margin is more weakly affected (Ma and Moses, 1995; Treisman and Rubin, 1995). Clones of cells mutant for dishevelled (dsh), a downstream component of the wg pathway, likewise form ectopic photoreceptors in the region that normally gives rise to dorsal head cuticle (Heslip et al., 1997). Conversely, ectopic expression of wg or activation of the Wg pathway can block both initiation and progression of the MF (Heslip et al., 1997; Treisman and Rubin, 1995).

It was originally proposed that wg repression is the only role of dpp in MF initiation, as cells in which the Hh pathway is ectopically activated can become photoreceptors if they also lack both *dpp* and *wg* (Dominguez and Hafen, 1997; Wiersdorff et al., 1996). However, *Mad* mutant clones at the posterior margin fail to differentiate even if they also lack wg, indicating that dpp has additional functions in initiation (Hazelett et al., 1998). Conversely, although high levels of Wg signaling repress *dpp* (Heslip et al., 1997) a level of ectopic Wg that does not affect *dpp* expression can still block differentiation (Treisman and Rubin, 1995). Activating the Dpp pathway at the level of the receptor Tkv is not sufficient to restore photoreceptor differentiation in the presence of Wg (Hazelett et al., 1998). Interestingly, Wg can upregulate the expression of *hth*, and ectopic Hth similarly blocks differentiation downstream of *dpp* expression (Pai et al., 1998; Pichaud and Casares, 2000). Anterior/posterior patterning of the eye disc appears to depend on the balance between Wg and Dpp, as anteriorly expressed genes such as ey are activated by Wg signaling and repressed by Dpp signaling (Curtiss and Mlodzik, 2000; J.D.L. and J.E.T., submitted).

Some of the effects of wg on MF initiation may be due to its influence on D-V patterning. The early restriction of wg expression to the dorsal side of the eye disc allows it to contribute to the activation of the Iro-C genes, which act as dorsal determinants (see above). However, the Iro-C genes probably do not

mediate all the effects of wg. Although activation of the Wg pathway in the ventral domain is not sufficient to induce Iro-C gene expression (Cavodeassi et al., 1999), it does prevent MF initiation (Treisman and Rubin, 1995). Ectopic eyes produced by lack of Iro-C include wildtype tissue and an ectopic equator is induced at the clonal boundary (Cavodeassi et al., 1999; Pichaud and Casares, 2000), while ectopic eyes produced by dsh clones are entirely composed of mutant tissue (Heslip et al., 1997), and ectopic furrows in discs lacking wg function have no equator (Ma and Moses, 1995).

A final signal that appears to be important for MF progression is the steroid hormone ecdysone. Inactivation of the *ecdysoneless* gene, which is required for ecdysone production, leads to a block in MF progression characterized by the loss of *hh* and *ato* expression (Brennan et al., 1998). However, the mechanism by which this signal is transduced is unclear. The known ecdysone receptor EcR and another hormone receptor encoded by DHR78 are not required for normal photoreceptor differentiation (Brennan et al., 2001), while loss of the coreceptor Ultraspiracle leads to an acceleration of MF movement (Zelhof et al., 1997). A delay in MF progression does occur in clones of cells mutant for some components of the downstream ecdysone-regulated *Broad-complex* (Brennan et al., 1998, 2001).

#### **Conclusions**

The molecules that are used to establish spatial pattern in the eye disc are also used to provide positional information to other imaginal discs; however, the details of their interactions are tailored to fit the progressive nature of eye development. N activation defines the D-V boundary of the wing disc (de Celis et al., 1996; Diaz-Benjumea and Cohen, 1995; Doherty et al., 1996), as it does in the eye, but the selector gene that determines the dorsal compartment of the wing is *apterous* rather than the *Iro-C* genes (Diaz-Benjumea and Cohen, 1993). Although *hh* in the posterior of the wing disc also activates *dpp* in more anterior cells (Basler and Struhl, 1994; Tabata et al., 1995; Zecca et al., 1995), the domain of *hh* expression is stable rather than progressive and depends on the selector gene *engrailed* (Tabata et al., 1992; Zecca et al., 1995), which is not required in the eye disc (Strutt and Mlodzik, 1996). The direct effects of *hh* on wing patterning are

restricted to the region near the compartment boundary (Mullor et al., 1997; Strigini and Cohen, 1997), while *dpp* organizes the long-range pattern (Lecuit et al., 1996; Nellen et al., 1996). In the eye, the progressive expansion of *hh* expression allows it to play a more significant direct role, taking over most of the functions of *dpp*. Eye development thus exhibits some interesting variations on the mechanisms known to specify spatial pattern.

# Acknowledgements

We thank Franck Pichaud, Russ Collins and Florence Janody for their insightful comments on the manuscript. Research in our laboratory is supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (GM56131) and the National Science Foundation (IBN-9728140 and IBN-9982093).

#### References

- Baker, N. E. (1988). Transcription of the segment-polarity gene *wingless* in the imaginal discs of *Drosophila*, and the phenotype of a pupal-lethal *wg* mutation. Development *102*, 489-497.
- Basler, K., and Struhl, G. (1994). Compartment boundaries and the control of *Drosophila* limb pattern by hedgehog protein. Nature *368*, 208-214.
- Benlali, A., Draskovic, I., Hazelett, D. J., and Treisman, J. E. (2000). *act up* controls actin polymerization to alter cell shape and restrict Hedgehog signaling in the *Drosophila* eye disc. Cell *101*, 271-81.
- Blackman, R. K., Sanicola, M., Raftery, L. A., Gillevet, T., and Gelbart, W. M. (1991). An extensive 3' *cis*-regulatory region directs the imaginal disc expression of *decapentaplegic*, a member of the TGF-β family in *Drosophila*. Development *111*, 657-665.
- Borod, E. R., and Heberlein, U. (1998). Mutual regulation of *decapentaplegic* and *hedgehog* during the initiation of differentiation in the *Drosophila* retina. Dev. Biol. 197, 187-197.
- Brennan, C. A., Ashburner, M., and Moses, K. (1998). Ecdysone pathway is required for furrow progression in the developing *Drosophila* eye. Development *125*, 2653-64.
- Brennan, C. A., Li, T. R., Bender, M., Hsiung, F., and Moses, K. (2001). *Broad-complex*, but not *Ecdysone receptor*, is required for progression of the morphogenetic furrow in the *Drosophila* eye. Development *128*, 1-11.
- Brown, N. L., Sattler, C. A., Paddock, S. W., and Carroll, S. B. (1995). *Hairy* and *emc* negatively regulate morphogenetic furrow progression in the *Drosophila* eye. Cell *80*, 879-887.
- Bruckner, K., Perez, L., Clausen, H., and Cohen, S. (2000). Glycosyltransferase activity of Fringe modulates Notch-Delta interactions. Nature 406, 411-5.
- Burke, R., and Basler, K. (1996). Hedgehog-dependent patterning in the *Drosophila* eye can occur in the absence of dpp signaling. Dev. Biol. *179*, 360-368.
- Burrill, J. D., and Easter, S. S., Jr. (1995). The first retinal axons and their

- microenvironment in zebrafish: cryptic pioneers and the pretract. J Neurosci. *15*, 2935-47.
- Cadigan, K. M., and Nusse, R. (1997). Wnt signaling: a common theme in animal development. Genes Dev. 11, 3286-3305.
- Cavodeassi, F., Diez Del Corral, R., Campuzano, S., and Dom#nguez, M. (1999). Compartments and organising boundaries in the *Drosophila* eye: the role of the homeodomain Iroquois proteins. Development *126*, 4933-4942.
- Chanut, F., and Heberlein, U. (1997a). Retinal morphogenesis in *Drosophila*: hints from an eye-specific *decapentaplegic* allele. Dev. Genet. 20, 197-207.
- Chanut, F., and Heberlein, U. (1997b). Role of decapentaplegic in initiation and progression of the morphogenetic furrow in the developing *Drosophila* retina. Development *124*, 559-567.
- Chanut, F., and Heberlein, U. (1995). Role of the morphogenetic furrow in establishing polarity in the *Drosophila* eye. Development *121*, 4085-4094.
- Chanut, F., Luk, A., and Heberlein, U. (2000). A screen for dominant modifiers of *ro*(*Dom*), a mutation that disrupts morphogenetic furrow progression in *Drosophila*, identifies *groucho* and *Hairless* as regulators of *atonal* expression. Genetics *156*, 1203-17.
- Chen, R., Halder, G., Zhang, Z., and Mardon, G. (1999). Signaling by the TGF-β homolog *decapentaplegic* functions reiteratively within the network of genes controlling retinal cell fate determination in *Drosophila*.

  Development 126, 935-943.
- Cho, K.-O., Chern, J., Izaddoost, S., and Choi, K.-W. (2000). Novel signaling from the peripodial membrane is essential for eye disc patterning in *Drosophila*. Cell *103*, 331-342.
- Cho, K.-O., and Choi, K.-W. (1998). Fringe is essential for mirror symmetry and morphogenesis in the *Drosophila* eye. Nature *396*, 272-276.
- Curtiss, J., and Mlodzik, M. (2000). Morphogenetic furrow initiation and progression during eye development in *Drosophila*: the roles of *decapentaplegic*, *hedgehog* and *eyes absent*. Development 127, 1325-36.
- Czerny, T., Halder, G., Kloter, U., Souabni, A., Gehring, W. J., and Busslinger, M. (1999). *twin of eyeless*, a second *Pax-6* gene of *Drosophila*, acts upstream of *eyeless* in the control of eye development. Mol. Cell 3, 297-307.

- Das, P., Maduzia, L. L., Wang, H., Finelli, A. L., Cho, S. H., Smith, M. M., and Padgett, R. W. (1998). The *Drosophila* gene *Medea* demonstrates the requirement for different classes of Smads in dpp signaling. Development 125, 1519-28.
- de Celis, J. F., Garcia-Bellido, A., and Bray, S. J. (1996). Activation and function of *Notch* at the dorsal-ventral boundary of the wing imaginal disc.

  Development 122, 359-369.
- Diaz-Benjumea, F. J., and Cohen, S. M. (1993). Interaction between dorsal and ventral cells in the imaginal disc directs wing development in *Drosophila*. Cell 75, 741-52.
- Diaz-Benjumea, F. J., and Cohen, S. M. (1995). Serrate signals through Notch to establish a *wingless*-dependent organizer at the dorsal-ventral compartment boundary of the *Drosophila* wing. Development 121, 4215-4225.
- Doherty, D., Feger, G., Younger-Shepherd, S., Jan, L. Y., and Jan, Y. N. (1996). Delta is a ventral to dorsal signal complementary to Serrate, another Notch ligand, in *Drosophila* wing formation. Genes Dev. *10*, 421-434.
- Dokucu, M. E., Zipursky, S. L., and Cagan, R. L. (1996). Atonal, Rough and the resolution of proneural clusters in the developing *Drosophila* retina. Development *122*, 4139-4147.
- Dominguez, M. (1999). Dual role for *hedgehog* in the regulation of the proneural gene *atonal* during ommatidia development. Development *126*, 2345-53.
- Dominguez, M., and de Celis, J. F. (1998). A dorsal/ventral boundary established by Notch controls growth and polarity in the *Drosophila* eye. Nature *396*, 276-278.
- Dominguez, M., and Hafen, E. (1997). Hedgehog directly controls initiation and propagation of retinal differentiation in the *Drosophila* eye. Genes Dev. *11*, 3254-3264.
- Fietz, M. J., Concordet, J. P., Barbosa, R., Johnson, R., Krauss, S., McMahon, A. P., Tabin, C., and Ingham, P. W. (1994). The *hedgehog* gene family in *Drosophila* and vertebrate development. Dev. Suppl. *36*, 43-51.
- Gomez-Skarmeta, J. L., R.D., d. C., de la Calle-Mustienes, E., Ferre-Marco, D., and Modolell, J. (1996). *araucan* and *caupolican*, two members of the novel

- *iroquois* complex, encode homeoproteins that control proneural and veinforming genes. Cell *85*, 95-105.
- Greenwood, S., and Struhl, G. (1999). Progression of the morphogenetic furrow in the *Drosophila* eye: the roles of Hedgehog, Decapentaplegic and the Raf pathway. Development *126*, 5795-5808.
- Halder, G., Callaerts, P., Flister, S., Walldorf, U., Kloter, U., and Gehring, W. J. (1998). Eyeless initiates the expression of both *sine oculis* and *eyes absent* during *Drosophila* compound eye development. Development *125*, 2181-2191.
- Hazelett, D. J., Bourouis, M., Walldorf, U., and Treisman, J. E. (1998). decapentaplegic and wingless are regulated by eyes absent and eyegone and interact to direct the pattern of retinal differentiation in the eye disc. Development 125, 3741-3751.
- Heberlein, U., Borod, E. R., and Chanut, F. A. (1998). Dorsoventral patterning in the *Drosophila*\_retina by *wingless*. Development 125, 567-577.
- Heberlein, U., Singh, C. M., Luk, A. Y., and Donohoe, T. J. (1995). Growth and differentiation in the *Drosophila* eye coordinated by *hedgehog*. Nature *373*, 709-711.
- Heberlein, U., Wolff, T., and Rubin, G. M. (1993). The TGFβ homolog *dpp* and the segment polarity gene *hedgehog* are required for propagation of a morphogenetic wave in the *Drosophila* retina. Cell *75*, 913-926.
- Heitzler, P., Haenlin, M., Ramain, P., Calleja, M., and Simpson, P. (1996). A genetic analysis of *pannier*, a gene necessary for viability of dorsal tissues and bristle positioning in *Drosophila*. Genetics *143*, 1271-86.
- Heslip, T. R., Theisen, H., Walker, H., and Marsh, J. L. (1997). SHAGGY and DISHEVELLED exert opposite effects on *wingless* and *decapentaplegic* expression and on positional identity in imaginal discs. Development 124, 1069-1078.
- Horsfield, J., Penton, A., Secombe, J., Hoffman, F. M., and Richardson, H. (1998). *decapentaplegic* is required for arrest in G1 phase during *Drosophila* eye development. Development 125, 5069-78.
- Jarman, A. P., Grell, E. H., Ackerman, L., Jan, L. Y., and Jan, Y. N. (1994). *atonal* is the proneural gene for *Drosophila* photoreceptors. Nature *369*, 398-400.

- Jarman, A. P., Sun, Y., Jan, L. Y., and Jan, Y. N. (1995). Role of the proneural gene, atonal, in formation of *Drosophila* chordotonal organs and photoreceptors. Development 121, 2019-2030.
- Jensen, A. M., and Wallace, V. A. (1997). Expression of Sonic hedgehog and its putative role as a precursor cell mitogen in the developing mouse retina. Development 124, 363-371.
- Kimmel, B. E., Heberlein, U., and Rubin, G. M. (1990). The homeodomain protein rough is expressed in a subset of cells in the developing *Drosophila* eye where it can specify photoreceptor cell subtype. Genes Dev. 4, 712-727.
- Lecuit, T., Brook, W. J., Ng, M., Sun, H., and Cohen, S. M. (1996). Two distinct mechanisms for long-range patterning by decapentaplegic in the *Drosophila* wing. Nature *381*, 387-393.
- Levine, E. M., Roelink, H., Turner, J., and Reh, T. A. (1997). Sonic hedgehog promotes rod photoreceptor differentiation in mammalian retinal cells in vitro. J Neurosci *17*, 6277-88.
- Ma, C., and Moses, K. (1995). *wingless* and *patched* are negative regulators of the morphogenetic furrow and can affect tissue polarity in the developing *Drosophila* compound eye. Development 121, 2279-2289.
- Ma, C., Zhou, Y., Beachy, P. A., and Moses, K. (1993). The segment polarity gene *hedgehog* is required for progression of the morphogenetic furrow in the developing *Drosophila* eye. Cell *75*, 927-938.
- Masucci, J. D., Miltenberger, R. J., and Hoffmann, F. M. (1990). Pattern-specific expression of the *Drosophila decapentaplegic* gene in imaginal discs is regulated by 3' cis-regulatory elements. Genes Dev. 4, 2011-2023.
- Maurel-Zaffran, C., and Treisman, J. E. (2000). *pannier* acts upstream of *wingless* to direct dorsal eye disc development in *Drosophila*. Development 127, 1007-1016.
- McCabe, K. L., Gunther, E. C., and Reh, T. A. (1999). The development of the pattern of retinal ganglion cells in the chick retina: mechanisms that control differentiation. Development *126*, 5713-24.
- McNeill, H., Yang, C. H., Brodsky, M., Ungos, J., and Simon, M. A. (1997). *mirror* encodes a novel PBX-class homeoprotein that functions in the definition of the dorsal-ventral border in the *Drosophila* eye. Genes Dev. *11*, 1073-1082.

- Moloney, D. J., Panin, V. M., Johnston, S. H., Chen, J., Shao, L., Wilson, R., Wang, Y., Stanley, P., Irvine, K. D., Haltiwanger, R. S., and Vogt, T. F. (2000). Fringe is a glycosyltransferase that modifies Notch . Nature 406, 369-75.
- Mullor, J. L., Calleja, M., Capdevila, J., and Guerrero, I. (1997). Hedgehog activity, independent of *decapentaplegic*, participates in wing disc patterning. Development 124, 1227-37.
- Nellen, D., Burke, R., Struhl, G., and Basler, K. (1996). Direct and long-range action of a dpp morphogen gradient. Cell *85*, 357-368.
- Netter, S., Fauvarque, M. O., Diez del Corral, R., Dura, J. M., and Coen, D. (1998). white<sup>+</sup> transgene insertions presenting a dorsal/ventral pattern define a single cluster of homeobox genes that is silenced by the polycomb-group proteins in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genetics 149, 257-275.
- Neumann, C. J., and Nüsslein-Volhard, C. (2000). Patterning of the zebrafish retina by a wave of sonic hedgehog activity. Science 289, 2137-9.
- Padgett, R. W., St. Johnston, R. D., and Gelbart, W. M. (1987). A transcript from a *Drosophila* pattern gene predicts a protein homologous to the transforming growth factor-beta family. Nature 325, 81-4.
- Pai, C.-Y., Kuo, T.-S., Jaw, T. J., Kurant, E., Chen, C.-T., Bessarab, D. A., Salzberg, A., and Sun, Y. H. (1998). The Homothorax homeoprotein activates the nuclear localization of another homeoprotein, Extradenticle, and suppresses eye development in *Drosophila*. Genes Dev. 12, 435-446.
- Pan, D., and Rubin, G. M. (1995). cAMP-dependent protein kinase and *hedgehog* act antagonistically in regulating *decapentaplegic* transcription in *Drosophila* imaginal discs. Cell *80*, 543-552.
- Panin, V. M., Papayannopoulos, V., Wilson, R., and Irvine, K. D. (1997). Fringe modulates Notch-ligand interactions. Nature *387*, 908-912.
- Papayannopoulos, V., Tomlinson, A., Panin, V. M., Rauskolb, C., and Irvine, K. D. (1998). Dorsal-ventral signaling in the *Drosophila* eye. Science 281, 2031-2034.
- Penton, A., Selleck, S. B., and Hoffmann, F. M. (1997). Regulation of cell cycle synchronization by *decapentaplegic* during *Drosophila* eye development. Science 275, 203-206.

- Pichaud, F., and Casares, F. (2000). *homothorax* and *iroquois-C* genes are required for the establishment of territories within the developing eye disc. Mech. Dev. 96, 15-25.
- Pignoni, F., Hu, B., Zavitz, K. H., Xiao, J., Garrity, P. A., and Zipursky, S. L. (1997). The eye specification proteins so and eya form a complex and multiple steps in *Drosophila* eye development. Cell *91*, 881-892.
- Pignoni, F., and Zipursky, S.L. (1997). Induction of *Drosophila* eye development by Decapentaplegic. Development 124, 271-278.
- Quiring, R., Walldorf, U., Kloter, U., and Gehring, W. J. (1994). Homology of the *eyeless* gene of *Drosophila* to the *Small eye* gene in mice and *Aniridia* in humans. Science 265, 785-789.
- Ramain, P., Heitzler, P., Haenlin, M., and Simpson, P. (1993). *pannier*, a negative regulator of *achaete* and *scute* in *Drosophila*, encodes a zinc finger protein with homology to the vertebrate transcription factor GATA-1.

  Development 119, 1277-91.
- Raymond, P. A., Barthel, L. K., and Curran, G. A. (1995). Developmental patterning of rod and cone photoreceptors in embryonic zebrafish. J. Comp. Neurol. *359*, 537-50.
- Ready, D. F., Hanson, T. E., and Benzer, S. (1976). Development of the *Drosophila* retina, a neurocrystalline lattice. Dev. Biol. 53, 217-240.
- Royet, J., and Finkelstein, R. (1997). Establishing primordia in the *Drosophila* eye-antennal imaginal disc: the roles of *decapentaplegic*, *wingless* and *hedgehog*. Development 124, 4793-4800.
- Schmitt, E. A., and Dowling, J. E. (1996). Comparison of topographical patterns of ganglion and photoreceptor cell differentiation in the retina of the zebrafish, Danio rerio. J. Comp. Neurol. *371*, 222-34.
- St. Johnston, R. D., Hoffmann, F. M., Blackman, R. K., Segal, D., Grimaila, R., Padgett, R. W., Irick, H. A., and Gelbart, W. M. (1990). Molecular organization of the *decapentaplegic* gene in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Genes Dev. 4, 1114-1127.
- Stenkamp, D. L., Frey, R. A., Prabhudesai, S. N., and Raymond, P. A. (2000). Function for Hedgehog genes in zebrafish retinal development. Dev. Biol. 220, 238-52.

- Strigini, M., and Cohen, S. M. (1997). A Hedgehog activity gradient contributes to AP axial patterning of the *Drosophila* wing. Development 124, 4697-705.
- Strutt, D. I., and Mlodzik, M. (1997). Hedgehog is an indirect regulator of morphogenetic furrow progression in the *Drosophila* eye disc. Development 124, 3233-3240.
- Strutt, D. I., and Mlodzik, M. (1996). The regulation of *hedgehog* and *decapentaplegic* during *Drosophila* eye imaginal disc development. M.O.D. 58, 39-50.
- Strutt, D. I., Wiersdorff, V., and Mlodzik, M. (1995). Regulation of furrow progression in the *Drosophila* eye by cAMP-dependent protein kinase A. Nature *373*, 705-709.
- Tabata, T., Eaton, S., and Kornberg, T. B. (1992). The *Drosophila hedgehog* gene is expressed specifically in posterior compartment cells and is a target of *engrailed* regulation. Genes Dev. 6, 2635-2645.
- Tabata, T., Schwartz, C., Gustavson, E., Ali, Z., and Kornberg, T. B. (1995). Creating a *Drosophila* wing de novo, the role of *engrailed*, and the compartment border hypothesis. Development *121*, 3359-3369.
- Thomas, B. J., Gunning, D. A., Cho, J., and Zipursky, S. L. (1994). Cell cycle progression in the developing *Drosophila* eye: *roughex* encodes a novel protein required for the establishment of G1. Cell 77, 1003-1014.
- Tomlinson, A., and Ready, D. F. (1987). Neuronal differentiation in the *Drosophila* ommatidium. Dev. Biol. *120*, 366-376.
- Treisman, J. E., and Rubin, G. M. (1995). *wingless* inhibits morphogenetic furrow movement in the *Drosophila* eye disc. Development *121*, 3519-3527.
- Wehrli, M., and Tomlinson, A. (1995). Epithelial planar polarity in the developing *Drosophila* eye. Development *121*, 2451-9.
- Wiersdorff, V., Lecuit, T., Cohen, S. M., and Mlodzik, M. (1996). *Mad* acts downstream of dpp receptors, revealing a differential requirement for *dpp* signaling in initiation and propagation of morphogenesis in the *Drosophila* eye. Development *122*, 2153-2162.
- Wolff, T., and Ready, D. F. (1991). The beginning of pattern formation in the *Drosophila* compound eye: the morphogenetic furrow and the second mitotic wave. Development *113*, 841-850.

- Yang, C. H., Simon, M. A., and McNeill, H. (1999). *mirror* controls planar polarity and equator formation through repression of *fringe* expression and through control of cell affinities. Development 126, 5857-66.
- Zecca, M., Basler, K., and Struhl, G. (1995). Sequential organizing activities of *engrailed, hedgehog* and *decapentaplegic* in the *Drosophila* wing. Development 121, 2265-2278.
- Zeidler, M. P., Perrimon, N., and Strutt, D. I. (1999). Polarity determination in the *Drosophila* eye: a novel role for unpaired and JAK/STAT signaling. Genes Dev. *13*, 1342-53.
- Zelhof, A. C., Ghbeish, N., Tsai, C., Evans, R. M., and McKeown, M. (1997). A role for *ultraspiracle*, the *Drosophila* RXR, in morphogenetic furrow movement and photoreceptor cluster formation. Development 124, 2499-506.

# Figure legend

**Figure 1:** Expression and interactions of some of the molecules used to pattern the eye disc. Before MF initiation, *pnr* activates *wg* expression on the dorsal side of the eye disc, leading to the activation of the *Iro-C* genes in the dorsal compartment. The *Iro-C* genes repress *fng*, and the boundary of *fng* expression leads to N activation at the dorsoventral midline. The initiation point is specified by the combination of activated N with *hh* and *dpp*, which are expressed at the posterior margin. *wg* prevents ectopic initiation from the lateral margins. Later in development, Hh present in the differentiating photoreceptors activates *dpp* expression and differentiation in more anterior cells.